CLECO POWER LLC BRAME ENERGY CENTER



CCR ANNUAL INSPECTION

LANDFILL—CELL 4

JANUARY 2025

Providence Engineering and Environmental Group LLC 1201 Main Street Baton Rouge, LA 70802 (225) 766-7400 <u>www.providenceeng.com</u> Providence Project No: 002-336



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Sect</u>	tion	Page 1
1.0	GENERAL INFORMATION	1-1
2.0	QUESTIONS FOR OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE	2-1
3.0	SLOPE PROTECTION – EXTERIOR SLOPES	3-1
4.0	UPPER ELEVATION - FLAT CAP PROTECTION	4-1
5.0	DOCUMENTATION REVIEW	5-1

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix

- A Photograph Log
- B P.E. Certification

SECTION 1.0

GENERAL INFORMATION

ANNUAL CCR LANDFILL INSPECTION:				
Facility Name:		Cleco Brame Energ	Cleco Brame Energy Center	
Address:		275 Rodemacher F	275 Rodemacher Rd. Lena, LA	
Landfill Name :	LandfillCell 4	Owner:	Cleco Power LLC	
Surface Impoundment ID: P-0379-R1-M3		Operator:	Cleco Power LLC	
Nearest City:	Boyce	Parish:	Rapides	
Inspector:		Gary J. Leonards, P.E.		
Company:		Providence Engineering & Environmental Group LLC		
Date of Inspection:		12/16/2024		
Weather at Time of Inspection:		Mostly Cloudy, Overcast		
DESCRIPTION OF THE OPERATION OF THE LANDFILL:				

The Ash Management Landfill at the Brame Energy Center was originally designed and permitted to accept CFB ash generated from Madison Unit 3. The permit and the design were modified to allow for Cell 4 of the landfill to receive coal combustion residual (CCR) byproducts derived from burning of the Unit 2 coal for the generation of electricity. The landfill is classified by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) as a Type I Landfill. Cleco began final closure of the Fly Ash pond in May of 2023 and completd final closure in June of 2024. Stormwater and leachate from the landfill are routed to the leachate collection/landfill runoff pond. This water discharges into Lake Rodemacher via LPDES outfall 401, thence to Bayou Jean de Jean via LPDES outfall 001, then to the Red River. The minimum levee elevation for Ash Management Landfill is 105 feet NAVD 88. The maximum capacity of the entire landfill is 8,300,000 cubic yards. The maximum capacity of Cell 4 is 831,857 cubic yards.

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION				
Owner Contact:	Kasey Moore	Phone:	318-793-1194	
Director-Brame Energy Center	Chris Estes	Phone:	318-484-7679	
Landfill Status:	Operational	Year Built:	2023 (cell 4)	
Latitude:	31° 23.43' N	Longitude:	92° 42.26' W	
Landfill Size:	indfill Size: 89 acres (total), 14 acres (cell 4)			
Approximate Volume of CCR Stored in Landfill at Time of Inspection:		430,000 cubic yards		

SECTION 2.0

QUESTIONS FOR OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE

2.0 QUESTIONS FOR OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE		
Construction Plans Available?	✓ Yes No	
Site Facility Map Available?	✓ Yes No	
	✓ Yes No	
Operations and Maintenance Manual Available?		
Emergency Action Plan Available?	✓ Yes No	
Recent Modification or Improvements?	None	
Are Routine Inspections Completed?	✓ Yes □ No	
Is Routine Maintenance Completed?	✓ Yes No	
Is There Vehicle Access to the Landfill?	✓ Yes No	
Are Routine Inspection Logs Kept On-site?	✓ Yes No	
Offsite Drainage Area:	Discharges to landfill runoff pond then to outfall 401 to Lake Rodemacher.	

SECTION 3.0

SLOPE PROTECTION – EXTERIOR SLOPES

3.0 SLOPE PROTECTION – EXTERIOR SLOPES:		
Describe the vegetation on the exterior slope: (Check all that apply)	Good Cover	
	Sparse	
	Other: (describe)	
Is there any erosion on the exterior slope?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)		
Is there any erosion protection on the exterior slopes? (e.g. riprap,	Yes 🗸 No	
other)		
If yes, describe (riprap - adequate, inadequate, etc.)		
Are there any cracks, sloughs, bulges, or indications of slope	Yes I No	
distress?		
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)		
Is there an access ramp up the side slope or a road around the perimeter slope?	Yes No	
If yes, describe (good condition, numerous cracks, aggregate uniformly di	stributed, etc.) Good Condition	
Are there any depressions, ruts, or holes on the access ramp?	Yes V No	
If yes, describe (size, location, etc.)		
Are there any trees or undesired vegetation on the slopes?	Yes 🖌 No	
If yes, describe (type of vegetation, size, location, etc.)		
Do any wet areas indicate seepage through the slope?	Yes 🗸 No	
If yes, describe (size, location, etc.)		
Are there any active seeps (flowing water) from the toe of the	Yes 🗸 No	
slope?		
If yes, describe (size, location, etc.)		
Is the stormwater being properly diverted by the existing infrastructure?	✓ Yes □ No	
If yes, describe (size, location, etc.)		
Is the stormwater infrastructure in good condition?	Ves No	
Findings:	The exterior slopes of Cell 4 were inspected and appeared to	
	be in fair to good condition. Excess vegetation on the east	
	slope needs to be managed.	
Other observations on the exterior slopes:	None	

SECTION 4.0

UPPER ELEVATION - FLAT CAP PROTECTION

4.0 UPPER ELEVATION FLAT CAP PROTECTION:	
Describe the vegetation on the upper elevation flat cap area:	Good Cover
(Check all that apply)	Sparse
	✓ Other: (describe)
	No cover installed yet on landfill.
Is there any erosion on the upper elevation flat cap area?	Yes 🗸 No
If yes, describe (size of area, location, severity, etc.)	
Is there any erosion protection on the upper elevation flat cap area? (e.g. riprap, other)	Yes 🗸 No
If yes, describe (riprap - adequate, inadequate, etc.)	
Are there any trees or undesired vegetation on the upper elevation flat cap area?	Yes 🗸 No
If yes, describe (type of vegetation, size, location, etc.)	
Is the storm water being properly diverted on the upper elevation flat cap area?	✓ Yes □ No
Findings:	The upper elevation flat cap area was inspected and appeared to be in satisfactory condition. No cover installed yet on landfill.
Other observations on the upper elevation flat cap area:	None

SECTION 5.0

DOCUMENTATION REVIEW

5.0 DOCUMENTATION REVIEW:	
Weekly Inspections Reviewed:	Yes No
Findings:	Weekly inspection reports need to be updated to include Cell 4.
Groundwater Monitoring:	Monitoring wells are in-place for routine monitoring.
Drawings Reviewed:	🗌 Yes 🗹 No
Any other change(s) which may have affected the stability or operation of the landfill since the previous annual inspection?	Yes 🗸 No 🗌 NA
Are there any changes in the geometry of the landfill since the previous inspection?	Yes V No NA
If yes, describe (size, location, etc.)	
Other observations:	None

APPENDIX A

PHOTOGRAPH LOG

	Cleco Power LLC	CLECO	
Site Name: Bra			
Site Location: Let	na, Rapides Parish, LA		
Date: De	cember 16, 2024		
Landfill Cell 4			
Direction:			
Northwesterly			
Comments:			
Sluice lines between eastern edge of cell 4 and Bottom Ash Pond. Vegetation needs to be managed.			
Landfill Cell 4			
Direction:			
Northeasterly			
Comments:			
Access road on east			
side of Landfill Cell			
4.			

Cleco Power LLC		
Site Name: Bra	ame Energy Center – Landfill Cell 4	
Site Location: Le	na, Rapides Parish, LA	
Date: De	cember 16, 2024	
Landfill Cell 4		
Direction:		1-0
Southeasterly		
Comments:		
Cell 4 embankment between cell 4 and bottom ash pond. Vegetation needs to be managed.		
Landfill Cell 4		
Direction:		
Northeasterly		
Comments:		
Northwest slope, leachate extraction risers, interior ditch, and access road		

Cleco Power LLC CLECO		
Site Name: Bra	ame Energy Center – Landfill Cell 4	
Site Location: Lei	na, Rapides Parish, LA	
Date: De	cember 16, 2024	
Landfill Cell 4		
Direction:	M.	
Southeasterly		
Comments:		
Western levee access road interior ditch and exterior slope		
Landfill Cell 4		
Direction:		
Southeasterly		
Comments:		
Western levee		
access road and interior ditch		

	Cleco Power LLC		
Site Name:	Brame Energy Center – Landfill Cell 4		
Site Location:	Lena, Rapides Parish, LA		
Date:	December 16, 2024		
Landfill Cell 4			
Direction:			
Northwesterly			
Comments:			
Western levee access road and interior ditch			
Landfill Cell 4			
Direction:			
Northwesterly			
Comments:	ents:		
Access road and exterior slope			

APPENDIX B

P.E. CERTIFICATION

LANDFILL—CELL 4 CCR ANNUAL INSPECTION

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I have inspected Cleco's Brame Energy Center Landfill--Cell 4 in accordance with the Annual CCR Inspection requirements. This inspection has determined that the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of Cell 4 of the Landfill is in accordance with generally accepted engineering standards.

Gary J. Leonards, P.	E.	
Name		OF LOUISHIE
30568	LA	
Registration No.	State	GARY J. LEONARDS
Thing 1	$ \land $	GARY J. LEONARDS License No. 30568 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
Signature		and the second
1/17/25		
Date	-	(Seal)

This inspection was conducted to assess the general overall condition of the landfill, identify visible deficiencies, and recommend areas for monitoring, and corrective actions. The inspection is based only on visible features/areas of the landfill on the day of inspection. The owner should verify the findings of this report and take corrective actions. This inspection does not relieve the owner/operator from their responsibility to conduct routine inspections, maintenance, repairs, modifications, monitoring, and documentation.